



Designations in Watton at Stone




- Watton at Stone parcel
- Neighbouring parcel
- Local authority
- Neighbouring authority
- Green Belt

NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- ▲ Listed building
- Chalk river
- Ancient woodland
- Flood zone 3
- Flood zone 2
- High risk of surface water flooding
- Conservation area
- Local Green Space
- Scheduled monument
- Registered Parks and Gardens




Potential grey belt in Watton at Stone




- Watton at Stone parcel
- Neighbouring parcel
- Local authority
- Neighbouring authority
- Green Belt

- Potential grey belt**
- Potentially suitable for definition as grey belt land in the borough
 - Footnote 7 designation




Highest rating in Watton at Stone



- Watton at Stone parcel
- Neighbouring parcel
- Local authority
- Neighbouring authority
- Green Belt

Highest Contribution to NPPF purposes A, B, C and D

- Strong
- Moderate
- Weak/no



Parcel WA1

Parcel WA1



Parcel WA1 Neighbouring parcel Green Belt

NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- | | |
|--|--|
| ▲ Listed building | High risk of surface water flooding |
| — Chalk river | Conservation area |
| Flood zone 2 | |

Parcel WA1

Contribution of land in Parcel WA1

Parcel WA1 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Moderate	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel WA1 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land located west of of Watton at Stone. Parcel size: 5ha. There are no significant boundary features to separate the parcel from the settlement. There is some change in landform which creates a sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel. The parcel slopes down away from the settlement edge. There is some perception of urban development outside of the parcel. There isn't a year-round boundary feature to reduce perception. There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel. There is some perception of connectivity with the wider countryside, limiting the impact of any existing urbanising influence.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Parcel WA1

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel lies in a robust gap between towns. The parcel lies in the gap between Stevenage and Ware.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising development.
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	The parcel does not form a substantial part of the gap. The parcel forms a very small part of the overall gap, with development here having a limited impact on the strength of the remainder of the gap.
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	Development of land in the parcel would likely have a negligible impact on visual separation between towns.

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Moderate contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising development.
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	There is some urbanising influence associated with development outside of the parcel.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would not significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land. The railway line and the woodland belt form strong outer boundary features which would limit the urbanising impact of development on adjacent open land.

Parcel WA1

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel have a relationship with a historic town(s)?	The parcel does not form part of the setting of a historic town. The parcel forms part of the wider agricultural landscape of Hertfordshire, but it does not currently form part of the setting of a historic town which would contribute to its heritage significance.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
To what extent does the parcel contribute to the setting and special character of historic town(s)?	N/A

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:

Equal contribution


All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.

Parcel WA2

Parcel WA2



Parcel WA2 Neighbouring parcel Green Belt

NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Listed building | Flood zone 2 |
| Chalk river | High risk of surface water flooding |
| Flood zone 3b | Conservation area |
| Flood zone 3 | |

Parcel WA2

Contribution of land in Parcel WA2

Parcel WA2 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Strong	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel WA2 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land located north-west of the satellite settlement of Watton at Stone. Parcel size: 10ha. There is a moderate boundary feature between the settlement and the parcel. Mature trees create a well-defined boundary feature. There is no significant change in landform to create a sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel. There is some perception of urban development outside of the parcel. There isn't a strong year-round boundary feature to reduce perception. There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel. The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising activity. There is some perception of connectivity with the wider countryside, limiting the impact of any existing urbanising influence. Landform and vegetation limits some views, although there is still some perception the wider countryside to the south.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas: Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Parcel WA2

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel lies in a robust gap between towns. The parcel lies in a robust gap between Stevenage and Ware.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is free from urbanising development.
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	The parcel forms a very small part of the gap.
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	Development of land in the parcel would likely have a negligible impact on visual separation between towns.

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Strong contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is free from urbanising development.
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	There is some urbanising influence associated with development outside of the parcel. There is a housing estate under construction to the north-east of the parcel, along Walkern Road.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land.

Parcel WA2

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel have a relationship with a historic town(s)?	The parcel does not form part of the setting of a historic town. The parcel forms part of the wider agricultural landscape of Hertfordshire, but it does not currently form part of the setting of a historic town which would contribute to its heritage significance.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
To what extent does the parcel contribute to the setting and special character of historic town(s)?	N/A

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:

Equal contribution




All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.

Parcel WA3

Parcel WA3



Parcel WA3

Contribution of land in Parcel WA3

Parcel WA3 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Strong	Moderate	Strong	Weak/No	Equal	No

Parcel WA3 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land located to the south-east of Stevenage, north of Watton at Stone. Parcel size: 191ha. Boundary features within the gap between the settlement and the parcel combine to create strong separation. The railway line with its associated tree cover form a strong separating feature between the parcel and Stevenage and Watton-At-Stone. There is some change in landform which creates a sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel. There is a weak perception of urban development outside of the parcel. There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel. Natural features limit perception of the wider Countryside.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas:

Strong contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is near to a large built-up area. Stevenage is a town, so is defined as a large built-up area.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is free from urbanising development.
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	The parcel is free from urbanising influences associated with development outside the parcel.
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	The parcel lies beyond physical features which are restricting and containing urban development. Therefore its weakening or loss would have an adverse impact on this purpose. Development in the parcel would negate the role of tree cover associated with the railway line which currently gives the parcel a strong sense of separation from urbanising influences.

Parcel WA3

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	Development in the parcel would create an incongruous pattern of development by significantly weakening the separation between currently distinct urban areas, increasing their combined sprawling influence on the wider Green Belt. Tree cover associated with the railway line between the parcel and the urban edge mean that the parcel has a strong sense of separation from the urban area, so development here would be incongruous with the urban pattern.

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Moderate contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel lies in a robust gap between towns. The parcel lies in the gap between Stevenage and Hertford.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is free from urbanising development.
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	The parcel does not form a substantial part of the gap.
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	Development of land in the parcel would likely have a minor impact on visual separation between towns. The development of land in this parcel would be likely to increase urbanising influence on adjacent land in the gap but impact would not be significant given the strength of separation in the remainder of the gap.

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Strong contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is free from urbanising development.

Parcel WA3

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	The parcel is free from urbanising influences associated with development outside the parcel.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land. The parcel has only hedgerow boundaries, so development within the parcel would in turn increase the urbanising impact of development on adjacent open land.

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel have a relationship with a historic town(s)?	The parcel does not form part of the setting of a historic town. The parcel forms part of the wider agricultural landscape of Hertfordshire, but it does not currently form part of the setting of a historic town which would contribute to its heritage significance.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
To what extent does the parcel contribute to the setting and special character of historic town(s)?	N/A

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:

Equal contribution


All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel makes a strong contribution to Green Belt Purpose A and therefore does not meet the definition of grey belt land.

Parcel WA4

Parcel WA4



Parcel WA4
 Neighbouring parcel
 Green Belt

NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- | | |
|---|---|
| ▲ Listed building | Local Green Space |
| — Chalk river | High risk of surface water flooding |
| Ancient woodland | Conservation area |
| Flood zone 3b | Registered Parks and Gardens |
| Flood zone 3 | |
| Flood zone 2 | |

Parcel WA4

Contribution of land in Parcel WA4

Parcel WA4 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Strong	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel WA4 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land located north-east of the satellite settlement of Watton at Stone. Parcel size: 53ha. There is a consistent strong boundary feature between the settlement and the parcel. The River Beane and associated vegetation creates a consistent strong boundary feature. There is some change in landform which creates a sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel. Land in the parcel ascends away from the settlement edge which creates a sense of separation. There is some perception of urban development outside of the parcel. There isn't a strong year round boundary feature to reduce perception. There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel. The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising activity. There is some perception of connectivity with the wider countryside, limiting the impact of any existing urbanising influence. There is some perception of the wider countryside, though this is often diluted by woodland and Watton at Stone.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl. All land in this area is considered to have a very strong sense of separation from any large built up area. This may be as a result of the presence of strong boundary features, distance from any inset settlement, lack of urbanising visual influences or a combination of these elements.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A

Parcel WA4

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel does not lie within or on the periphery of a gap between towns that are close enough to be considered neighbouring in this location. The parcel lies in an approximately 9.5km gap between Ware and Stevenage.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	N/A
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	N/A

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Strong contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising development. There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel. The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising activity.

Parcel WA4

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	There is some urbanising influence associated with development outside of the parcel. There isn't a strong year round boundary feature to reduce perception.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would not significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land. The A602 and associated woodland forms a strong outer boundary which would limit the urbanising impact of development on adjacent open land.

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel have a relationship with a historic town(s)?	The parcel does not form part of the setting of a historic town. The parcel forms part of the wider agricultural landscape of Hertfordshire, but it does not currently form part of the setting of a historic town which would contribute to its heritage significance.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
To what extent does the parcel contribute to the setting and special character of historic town(s)?	N/A

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:

Equal contribution


All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.

Parcel WA5

Parcel WA5



Parcel WA5
 Neighbouring parcel
 Green Belt

NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- | | |
|--|---|
| ▲ Listed building | Local Green Space |
| — Chalk river | High risk of surface water flooding |
| Ancient woodland | Conservation area |
| Flood zone 3b | Registered Parks and Gardens |
| Flood zone 3 | |
| Flood zone 2 | |

Parcel WA5

Contribution of land in Parcel WA5

Parcel WA5 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Strong	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel WA5 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land located east of Watton at Stone. Parcel size: 54ha. There is a strong boundary feature between the settlement and the parcel. tree cover associated with the A602 and the vegetated gap to the urban edge creates a strong sense of separation. There is some change in landform which creates a sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel. There is a weak perception of urban development outside of the parcel. There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel. There is some perception of connectivity with the wider countryside, limiting the impact of any existing urbanising influence.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Parcel WA5

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel does not lie within or on the periphery of a gap between towns that are close enough to be considered neighbouring in this location.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	N/A
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	N/A

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Strong contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is free from urbanising development.
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	The parcel is free from urbanising influences associated with development outside the parcel.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land. The parcel has only hedgerow boundaries, so development within the parcel would in turn increase the urbanising impact of development on adjacent open land.

Parcel WA5

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel have a relationship with a historic town(s)?	The parcel does not form part of the setting of a historic town. The parcel forms part of the wider agricultural landscape of Hertfordshire, but it does not currently form part of the setting of a historic town which would contribute to its heritage significance.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
To what extent does the parcel contribute to the setting and special character of historic town(s)?	N/A

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:

Equal contribution

All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.

Parcel WA6



NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- Listed building
- Chalk river
- Flood zone 3b
- Flood zone 3
- Flood zone 2
- Local Green Space
- High risk of surface water flooding
- Conservation area
- Registered Parks and Gardens

Parcel WA6

Contribution of land in Parcel WA6

Parcel WA6 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Moderate	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel WA6 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land located south of the satellite settlement of Watton at Stone. Parcel size: 11ha. There are no significant boundary features to separate the parcel from the settlement. Garden boundary features do not form a significant boundary feature. There is no significant change in landform to create a sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel. Although land in the parcel is sloping, the settlement lies on the same slope. There is some perception of urban development outside of the parcel. There isn't a strong year-round boundary feature or change in landform to reduce perception. Existing development in the Green Belt has some urbanising influence. Watton-at-Stone Primary and Nursery School, allotments, sports pitches and St Andrew and St Mary's Church has some urbanising influence. There is some perception of connectivity with the wider countryside, limiting the impact of any existing urbanising influence.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl. All land in this area is considered to have a very strong sense of separation from any large built up area. This may be as a result of the presence of strong boundary features, distance from any inset settlement, lack of urbanising visual influences or a combination of these elements.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A

Parcel WA6

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel does not lie within or on the periphery of a gap between towns that are close enough to be considered neighbouring in this location. The parcel lies in an approximately 9.5km gap between Ware and Stevenage.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	N/A
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	N/A

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Moderate contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	There is some urbanising development in the parcel. Watton-at-Stone Primary and Nursery School, allotments, sports pitches and St Andrew and St Mary's Church has some urbanising influence.

Parcel WA6

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	There is some urbanising influence associated with development outside of the parcel. There is some perception of urban development outside of the parcel. There isn't a strong year-round boundary feature or change in landform to reduce perception.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would not significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land. Mature tree cover forms a strong outer boundary which would limit the urbanising impact of development on adjacent open land.

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel have a relationship with a historic town(s)?	The parcel does not form part of the setting of a historic town. The parcel forms part of the wider agricultural landscape of Hertfordshire, but it does not currently form part of the setting of a historic town which would contribute to its heritage significance.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
To what extent does the parcel contribute to the setting and special character of historic town(s)?	N/A

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:

Equal contribution

All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.

Parcel WA7



Parcel WA7 Neighbouring parcel Green Belt

NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- | | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Listed building | High risk of surface water flooding |
| Flood zone 2 | Conservation area |
| Local Green Space | |

Parcel WA7

Contribution of land in Parcel WA7

Parcel WA7 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Moderate	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel WA7 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land located south-west of the satellite settlement of Watton at Stone. Parcel size: 5ha. A combination of features forms a boundary of moderate strength between the settlement and the parcel. Garden boundary fences and Church Lane combine to form a well-defined boundary. There is no significant change in landform to create a sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel. There is some perception of urban development outside of the parcel. There isn't a strong year-round boundary feature or change in landform to reduce perception. There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel. The parcel is free from urbanising activity. Natural features limit perception of the wider countryside. Vegetation associated with the rail way line to the west limits perception.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas: Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl. All land in this area is considered to have a very strong sense of separation from any large built up area. This may be as a result of the presence of strong boundary features, distance from any inset settlement, lack of urbanising visual influences or a combination of these elements.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A

Parcel WA7

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel does not lie within or on the periphery of a gap between towns that are close enough to be considered neighbouring in this location. The parcel lies in an approximately 9.5km gap between Ware and Stevenage.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	N/A
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	N/A

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Moderate contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is free from urbanising development. There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel. The parcel is free from urbanising activity.
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	There is some urbanising influence associated with development outside of the parcel. There is some perception of urban development outside of the parcel.

Parcel WA7

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
	There isn't a strong year-round boundary feature or change in landform to reduce perception.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would not significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land. Vegetation associated with the rail way line clearly marks the parcel boundary to the west. Although development in the parcel would have some urbanising impact on land beyond, it would not significantly weaken its contribution to Purpose C.

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel have a relationship with a historic town(s)?	The parcel does not form part of the setting of a historic town. The parcel forms part of the wider agricultural landscape of Hertfordshire, but it does not currently form part of the setting of a historic town which would contribute to its heritage significance.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
To what extent does the parcel contribute to the setting and special character of historic town(s)?	N/A

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:

Equal contribution


All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.

Parcel WA8

Parcel WA8



Parcel WA8 Neighbouring parcel Green Belt

NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- | | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Chalk river | High risk of surface water flooding |
| Flood zone 3b | Conservation area |
| Flood zone 3 | Registered Parks and Gardens |
| Flood zone 2 | |
| Local Green Space | |

Parcel WA8

Contribution of land in Parcel WA8

Parcel WA8 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Strong	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel WA8 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land located south-east of Watton at Stone. Parcel size: 11ha. Strong consistent boundary features within the gap between the settlement and the parcel combine to create strong separation. Woodland forms a consistent strong boundary feature in the parcel. There is some change in landform which creates a sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel. The south of the parcel slopes down from Watton at Stone, creating some sense of separation. There is a weak perception of urban development outside of the parcel. Woodland and distance from the settlement combine to limit perception. There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel. The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising activity. Natural features limit perception of the wider countryside. Woodland and landform limit perception.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A

Parcel WA8

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel does not lie within or on the periphery of a gap between towns that are close enough to be considered neighbouring in this location.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	N/A
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	N/A

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Strong contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising development. There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel.
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	The parcel is free from urbanising influences associated with development outside the parcel. Woodland and distance from the settlement combine to limit influence from Watton at Stone to the north.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would not significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land. Woodland forms a strong outer boundary which would limit the urbanising impact of development on adjacent open land.

Parcel WA8

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel have a relationship with a historic town(s)?	The parcel does not form part of the setting of a historic town. The parcel forms part of the wider agricultural landscape of Hertfordshire, but it does not currently form part of the setting of a historic town which would contribute to its heritage significance.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
To what extent does the parcel contribute to the setting and special character of historic town(s)?	N/A

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:

Equal contribution


All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.

Parcel WA9

Parcel WA9



Parcel WA9 Neighbouring parcel Green Belt

NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Listed building | Local Green Space |
| Chalk river | High risk of surface water flooding |
| Flood zone 3b | Conservation area |
| Flood zone 3 | Registered Parks and Gardens |
| Flood zone 2 | |

Parcel WA9

Contribution of land in Parcel WA9

Parcel WA9 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Strong	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel WA9 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land located south of the satellite settlement of Watton at Stone. Parcel size: 16ha. There is a combination of features creating a strong boundary between the settlement and the parcel. Woodland, hedgerows and tree belts combine to form a strong boundary feature. There is a significant change in landform which creates a clear sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel. Land in the parcel ascends significantly above Watton at Stone, creating a clear sense of separation. There is a weak perception of urban development outside of the parcel. There are strong boundary features that limit perception, although there is some washed over development in and around the parcel that increases perception. Existing development in the Green Belt has some urbanising influence. Scattered dwellings with large gardens have some urbanising influence. Natural features limit perception of the wider countryside. Woodland, high hedgerows and tree belts often limit perception.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas: Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl. All land in this area is considered to have a very strong sense of separation from any large built up area. This may be as a result of the presence of strong boundary features, distance from any inset settlement, lack of urbanising visual influences or a combination of these elements.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A

Parcel WA9

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel does not lie within or on the periphery of a gap between towns that are close enough to be considered neighbouring in this location. The parcel lies in an approximately 9.5km gap between Ware and Stevenage.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	N/A
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	N/A

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Strong contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel has uses associated with the urban area which limit the extent to which it is perceived as being part of the countryside.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	There is some urbanising development in the parcel. Existing development in the Green Belt has some urbanising influence. Scattered dwellings with large gardens have some urbanising influence.

Parcel WA9

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising influences associated with development outside the parcel. There is a weak perception of urban development outside of the parcel. There are strong boundary features that limit perception, although there is some washed over development in and around the parcel that increases perception.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would not significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land. Woodland, high hedgerows and tree belts clearly marks the parcel boundary. Although development in the parcel would have some urbanising impact on land beyond, it would not significantly weaken its contribution to Purpose C.

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel have a relationship with a historic town(s)?	The parcel does not form part of the setting of a historic town. The parcel forms part of the wider agricultural landscape of Hertfordshire, but it does not currently form part of the setting of a historic town which would contribute to its heritage significance.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
To what extent does the parcel contribute to the setting and special character of historic town(s)?	N/A

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:

Equal contribution

All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within

Parcel WA9

or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.